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Since their earliest days, databases have held themselves to a strict invariant: Never give the user a wrong answer. So ingrained is it in the psyche of the database community, that those violate have only done so through cumbersome data models [4][11], huge warning signs [5], or annoying language constructs that break classical SQL [1][6].

Sadly, by trying to enforce perfection in the database itself, database systems fail to acknowledge that the data being stored is rarely precise, correct, valid, or unambiguous. Emphasizing on certain, deterministic data forces the use of complex, hard-to-manage extract-transform-load pipelines that emit deceptively certain, “truthy” data rather than acknowledging ambiguity or error. As more decisions are automated, even small truthiness errors can drastically impact peoples’ lives, from denying a person credit [10], to deciding that an 8-year old is a terrorist [2]. System designers must decide between presenting erroneous data as truthful or risk discarding useful information, and many choose the former.

The database community has already begun treating uncertainty as a first class primitive in databases [8]. Unfortunately, uncertainty also requires us to rethink how we interact with data. For example, personal information managers like Apple Calendar and the iOS Phone App increasingly use facts data-mined from email to automatically populate databases in their contacts and calendar applications. Figure 1 illustrates how these two applications present extracted information to the user. Here, uncertain facts are explicitly kept distinct or clearly marked as being guesses. The interface includes intuitive provenance mechanisms that help to put the extracted information in context. Furthermore, the interface includes overt feedback options to help the user correct or confirm uncertain data. We need to start adapting these techniques to more general data management settings.

The presentation layer isn’t the only problem, as identifying sources uncertainty requires developers to invest lots of upfront effort rethinking how they write code. We need to

![Figure 1: Extraction in Calendar.app and iOS Phone](image)
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